

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. പി. വള്ളോൻ.—അയാൾക്ക് എന്തു ക്വാളിഫിക്കേഷൻ വേണ്ട.

മിസ്റ്റർ എം. ഡി. നാരായണയ്യർ.—വലിയ പരീക്ഷയൊന്നും വേണ്ട. എഴുത്താനും വായിക്കാനും കഴിവുള്ള ആളായാൽ തൃക്കണമെന്നുണ്ട്.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. അയ്യപ്പൻ.—എഴുത്തറിയാത്ത ആളുകളെ പൂൺസ് ആയിട്ടു വയ്ക്കാറുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ എം. ഡി. നാരായണയ്യർ.—എന്റെ അറിവിൽ ഇല്ല.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. അയ്യപ്പൻ.—എഴുത്തറിയാത്തവരെ എന്തെങ്കിലും ജോലിയ്ക്കു വയ്ക്കാറുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ എം. ഡി. നാരായണയ്യർ.—അത് ജോലിയുടെ യോഗ്യതപോലെയാണല്ലോ. എന്നാൽ ഈ ചോദ്യത്തിന് ഉത്തരം പറയാൻ സാധിക്കുകയില്ല.

Complaints against the Cranganur Police.

178. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim—Will the Government be pleased to state a summary of the complaints made departmentally against the Cranganur Police for the last six months and how they have been disposed of?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon—(1) Petition from Kunhipathumma alleging that constable No. 251 trespassed into her house and belaboured her on 29th Edavam 1107.

The allegations were found to be false. The petitioner had also lodged a complaint in court. It ended in discharge.

(2) Petition from Kochukunjali Nayana stating that he was afraid from the tone of Inspector Mr. Pappali's reply to a lawyer notice sent by him to the Inspector for the repayment of a loan taken from him, that he would be ill-treated or otherwise injured by the Cranganur Police.

The apprehension of the petitioner was found to be more of an imaginary nature. The petitioner told the D. S. P. to whom the petition was forwarded for enquiry that the matter between himself and the Inspector was closed and so he did not require anything to be done in the matter. The Inspector was warned not to give room for such complaints. No further departmental action was found necessary in the matter.

(3) Petition dated 4th Chingam 1108, from one Adimakunji of Azhikode that the Police were not properly enquiring into a petition put in by him before the Magistrate to the effect that his son who was a servant of the petitioner in No. 4 was kidnapped to Madura by one Karuppan. No action was taken against the Inspector as he was enquiring into the matter on the

right lines and no case of kidnapping was disclosed in the course of the enquiry made by the D. S. P.

(4) Petition, dated 20th Chingam 1108 from Ayyaril Kunhi Pokkerkutti stating (1) that he was afraid that the Inspector would foist on him some false case to insult him as his relative Kochu Kunjali Nayana referred to in No. (2) had sent a notice to the Inspector and that the Inspector was under the impression that the petition referred to in No. (3) was put in at his instance; (2) that the Inspector was not taking any action against "Samathua Sangham" which had published some leaflets without the names of the Printers and Publishers, criticising the actions of the rich men of the locality.

The petition was recorded as the allegations were unfounded and imaginary.

(5) Petition, dated 23rd Chingam 1108 from Panaparambil Kunhi Pokkerkutti that the Inspector was speaking ill of him, that he used to abuse Muslims in general and that he was afraid that the Inspector would abuse and insult him.

The petition was recorded as the allegations were false and made with a view of getting the Inspector transferred from Cranganur as he was taking prompt action in a case of theft against the father of the petitioner.

(6) Petition, dated 18th Kanni 1108 of one Makku stating that constable No. 261 against whom he had lodged a complaint in court for intimidating him was trying to terrorise the witnesses in the case.

Enquiry showed that the petition was put in at the instance of the petitioner in No. (5) and that the allegations were false. The case in court ended in discharge and the accused constable was ordered to be given a compensation of Rs. 10.

(7) Petition from Veeravunnya alleging that he was arrested and taken to the Police Station with a view to insult him and put him to trouble as he was an eye-witness in the case put in by Makku referred to in No. 6.

The man was rightly arrested in a breach of trust case put in by a relative of the petitioner which is now pending trial in court.

(8) Letters from the Editor of Al-Ameen stating that he was receiving several articles for publication in the paper about the "Atrocities", etc., done by Cranganur Inspector and urging to take action against him.

The Editor who is a relative of the petitioner in No. (5) appeared to be taking sides with one of the two Muslim factions of Cranganur. Consequently, much reliance could not be placed on his communications and also on his leading articles in the Muslim paper.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Sub-division 2. May I know why Mr. is left before Kochu Kunjali Nayana and why it is put before Mr. Pappali?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—May be a slip.

Mr. Paul Mampilli.—Did the Cranganur Police receive any petition from a poor landholder named Kunju Muhammad with regard to trespass by certain influential persons in his property and plucking cocoanuts?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—I want notice.

Mr. M. Krishna Menon.—Did the Police Commissioner enquire into any of these 8 allegations personally?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—All these allegations were enquired into by the D. S. P.

Mr. M. Krishna Menon.—Has the Police Commissioner enquired into any of them?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—No.

Mr. M. Krishna Menon.—No. 8. Does the Police Commissioner know that he is a relative?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—Yes, that is my information.

Mr. M. Krishna Menon.—Any enquiry made?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—I have not met the editor. All these are informations from the D. S. P.

Resolutions of the Methala Panchayat.

179. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Will the Government be pleased to copy and place on the table all the resolutions of the present Methala Panchayat during both the acting and elected tenures of the present President, indicating separately those which the Government have accepted and acted upon and those which they have't with reasons?

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—The list containing the information is appended (*Appendix X*).

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—With reference to the Appendix—May I know whether in all these cases the matter was referred to the Chief Engineer and may I know what action the Chief Engineer has taken?

മിസ്റ്റർ എം. ഡി. നാരായണൻ.—നമ്പ്ര 1.—‘ബ്രാക്കറാച്ചാൽ താഴ്ത്തണമെന്നു’—അതു താഴ്ത്തിക്കഴിഞ്ഞു.

നമ്പ്ര 2. ഇതു വേനൽകാലത്തെ പണി തുടങ്ങാൻ സാധിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. ഇക്കൊല്ലം ഇതിനു എസ്റ്റിമേറ്റു സാബ്ഷൻ ആയിട്ടുണ്ട്. കരാർ കൊടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.

നമ്പ്ര 3. മുമ്പും ഞാൻ നേരിട്ടുനോക്കിയിരുന്നു താഴ്ത്തിയത്.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എം. മബ്രാഹിം.—എപ്പോൾ പണി തുടങ്ങും.